

## Saskatchewan Cheerleading Association

## **Committee Terms of Reference**

## **Awards Selection Committee**

NAME & TYPE	Awards Selection Committee – Standing
COMPOSITION	The Committee shall consist of at a minimum three and at maximum
	eight individuals and must include the following who may vote:
	<ul> <li>Two Members of the Board of Directors;</li> </ul>
	One member from the Cheerleading community;
	Committee members must not be a reference for any of the
	scholarship applicants. The composition of the Committee shall be
	reviewed annually.
SERVING TERMS	Chair: two-year term
	If only one other board/committee member is selected, that
	member will have the option for a one-OR-two-year term
	If two or more board/committee members are selected
	<ul> <li>Min of one, max of two positions: one year term</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Min of one, max of two positions: two-year term</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Executive Director and/or staff: on-going</li> </ul>
APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR	The Chairperson shall be a competent member on the committee,
	who is willing to be the chair and is agreed upon by the committee
	members.
DECISION-MAKING	Decisions shall be made by majority vote. Tie votes shall be reported
PROCESS	to the Board who shall decide the issue.
AUTHORITY DELEGATED	The Committee shall select the recipients for the SCA Scholarships as
	well as the SCA Coach of the year.
TIMEFRAME/ REPORTING/	The committee shall report the recipients to the Executive Director no
DEADLINE/DISSOLUTION	later than March 10.
MEETINGS	The Committee will meet as needed at the request of the Committee
	Chair.
STAFF SUPPORT	The Committee will receive the necessary staff resources from SCA to
	fulfill their mandate.
COMMUNICATION WITH	The Committee Chair will submit a written report to the Board of
BOARD	Directors detailing the activity of the Committee.
COMMUNICATION WITH	The Committee Chair will communicate with the Executive Director.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
SPECIFIC AREAS OF	The Committee will perform the following key duties:
RESPONSIBILITY	Review, revise and submit recommendations on any
	adjustments required on the SCA Scholarship Application

<ul> <li>Review, revise and submit recommendations on any adjustments required on the SCA Coach of the Year nomination form</li> <li>Review all SCA scholarship applications and associated letters of reference</li> <li>Review all SCA coach of the year nominations and related materials</li> <li>Meet and discuss all applications and considerations for recipients</li> <li>Select the scholarship and coach of the year recipients via vote</li> <li>Approve the Volunteer of the Year award recipient as recommended by the executive director and president</li> <li>In the event a Committee member declares a conflict of interest related to an applicant, the following evaluation process will be administered:         <ol> <li>All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix.</li> <li>If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee Sci discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores, and sho be removed and reviewed again.</li> <li>If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	1	
nomination formReview all SCA scholarship applications and associated letters of referenceReview all SCA coach of the year nominations and related materialsMeet and discuss all applications and considerations for recipientsSelect the scholarship and coach of the year recipients via voteApprove the Volunteer of the Year award recipient as recommended by the executive director and presidentIn the event a Committee member declares a conflict of interest related to an applicant, the following evaluation process will be administered: 1) All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix.2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee discussion will remove the ratings and resubmit the aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
of referenceReview all SCA coach of the year nominations and related materialsMeet and discuss all applications and considerations for recipientsSelect the scholarship and coach of the year recipients via voteApprove the Volunteer of the Year award recipient as recommended by the executive director and presidentIn the event a Committee member declares a conflict of interest related to an applicant, the following evaluation process will be administered: 1) All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix.2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
<ul> <li>Review all SCA coach of the year nominations and related materials</li> <li>Meet and discuss all applications and considerations for recipients</li> <li>Select the scholarship and coach of the year recipients via vote</li> <li>Approve the Volunteer of the Year award recipient as recommended by the executive director and president</li> <li>In the event a Committee member declares a conflict of interest related to an applicant, the following evaluation process will be administered:         <ol> <li>All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix.</li> <li>If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Member from the aggregate ratings and resubmit the aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.</li> </ol> </li> <li>APPROVAL REVIEW DATE Approved on: April 15, 2019         Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023     </li> </ul>		Review all SCA scholarship applications and associated letters
materials• Meet and discuss all applications and considerations for recipients• Select the scholarship and coach of the year recipients via vote• Approve the Volunteer of the Year award recipient as recommended by the executive director and president• In the event a Committee member declares a conflict of interest related to an applicant, the following evaluation process will be administered: 1) All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix. 2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		of reference
recipientsSelect the scholarship and coach of the year recipients via voteApprove the Volunteer of the Year award recipient as recommended by the executive director and presidentIn the event a Committee member declares a conflict of interest related to an applicant, the following evaluation process will be administered: 1) All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix.2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee discussion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
vote• Approve the Volunteer of the Year award recipient as recommended by the executive director and president• In the event a Committee member declares a conflict of interest related to an applicant, the following evaluation process will be administered: 1) All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix. 2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
recommended by the executive director and presidentIn the event a Committee member declares a conflict of interest related to an applicant, the following evaluation process will be administered: 1) All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix. 2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee member from the aggregate ratings and resubmit the aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
<ul> <li>In the event a Committee member declares a conflict of interest related to an applicant, the following evaluation process will be administered:         <ol> <li>All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix.</li> <li>If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee Member from the aggregate ratings and resubmit the aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.</li> </ol> </li> <li>APPROVAL REVIEW DATE Approved on: April 15, 2019         Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023     </li> </ul>		Approve the Volunteer of the Year award recipient as
interest related to an applicant, the following evaluation process will be administered: 1) All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix. 2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee member from the aggregate ratings and resubmit the aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		recommended by the executive director and president
APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		In the event a Committee member declares a conflict of
1) All Committee members, including the conflicted member, will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix.2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee member from the aggregate ratings and resubmit the aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
applicant submission using established rating process, criteria and matrix.2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee member from the aggregate ratings and resubmit the aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
and matrix.2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee member from the aggregate ratings and resubmit the aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		will participate objectively and fairly in the evaluation of each
scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of the conflicted Committee member from the aggregate ratings and resubmit the aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		2) If the conflict application rates in the top three aggregate
and resubmit the aggregate scores to the Committee for review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		scores, the Committee Chairperson will remove the ratings of
review. At the committee's discretion, the bottom three aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again. 3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
aggregate scores can also be removed and reviewed again.3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.APPROVAL REVIEW DATEApproved on: April 15, 2019 Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
3) If the conflicted submission remains in the top three aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.         APPROVAL REVIEW DATE       Approved on: April 15, 2019         Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
aggregate scores, a Committee discussion will take place to review all ratings and reviewer comments.         APPROVAL REVIEW DATE       Approved on: April 15, 2019         Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
APPROVAL REVIEW DATE       Approved on: April 15, 2019         Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
APPROVAL REVIEW DATE       Approved on: April 15, 2019         Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023		
	APPROVAL REVIEW DATE	Approved on: April 15, 2019
Other		Revised and approved on: June 3, 2023
	Other	