

### General Information

- Please arrive at least 15 minutes early to check in and receive a coloured 'paddle' (used for voting and signifying your interest to take the floor to speak).
- During the meeting, if you wish to speak to current topic, please raise your 'paddle.' The President will coordinate the speakers and will indicate when you may have the floor to speak.

### Voting Information

- Upon arrival at the AGM, each team will receive a coloured 'paddle' (or two for double memberships). You will use this paddle to vote.
- Please ensure that you have one paddle per person per team – if your team has a double membership, you should have two individuals representing your team at the meeting.
- All voters must be active, regular members of the current SCA with membership fees paid prior to the day of the AGM or current Executive members.
- Vote by mail or by proxy shall not be allowed – members must be present to vote.
- Rule revisions and motions can only be made by a vote of approval of at least 50% of regular members present and at least 50% of the Executive members present.
- The Executive has the right to revoke or revise rules at any time during the year with a majority vote. Changes will be forwarded to all SCA members immediately via email and through the SCA website.

### AGENDA

#### 1. Call Meeting to Order @ 10:08am

#### 2. Attendance

- a) Executive Members: Mishayla, Katie
- b) Members:

#### 3. Acceptance of 2010 AGM Minutes

Motion By: Jen

Seconded By: Mary

Passed [X]    Denied [ ]

#### 4. President's Report

##### a) SaskSport

- We were accepted as an emerging sport, have 3 years with funding, can reapply after that three years if we can't meet all the requirements after the three years
- Recreation development, high level competitions are what we need to focus on per SaskSport
- Membership Assistance Funding – hosting or attending a competition funding available for our members
- Youth at Risk, Aboriginal and Northern Residents funding specifically allotted per SaskSport

##### b) Coaches' Certification

- Bought into the program that Alberta uses, skill based not rule based
- Want to make the program mandatory – grace period to ensure everyone can find the time to attend
- Level 1 – lifetime certification; Level 2 and 3 – recertification every 5 years
- Gives a lot of clout in the schools, with SaskSport, etc

##### c) Cheer Canada

- Our National governing body
- Meeting in Toronto in January 2011 with many other provincial cheer bodies, at different levels of their associations
- Non-profit association base, judging certification program allowing travel across provinces, insurance for athletes, board members needed with no conflict of interest (All-Star for profit members, etc)
- June meeting to touch base on sub-committees that were made in January
- Mishayla is in charge of the technology and communication committee
- General goal: get the association off the ground for implementation in fall 2012
- Most likely going to use USASF for All-Star and High School – for all provinces; easier for judging, travelling across provinces
- Need this to happen for Full Membership for SaskSport

#### 5. Financial Report

- a) Memberships \$3500, Coaches certification cost \$5000 for us to be able to use the Alberta program; operating costs \$9,079; \$5000 GIC with Bank of Montreal; Balance of \$5100 (including the GIC)

**6. Special Orders**

- a) Introduction & Election of New Executive Members
- Acclamations:
    - (1) President – Mishayla Potts
    - (2) Vice President – Katie Kohuch (new)
    - (3) Secretary – Jill Nadon (new)
    - (4) Judging Director – Denise Norris
    - (5) All Star Director – Natasha Ford (new)
    - (6) Pom Director – Mary Kolach
    - (7) Events Director – Chelsea Dorn (new)
    - (8) Members at Large – Carly Weisbeck, Hilary Anonson (new)
  - ii) Elections:
    - (1) Member at Large – third position nominations from the membership
      - (a) Brayden Obed
      - (b) Leslie Stevenson
    - (2) Both members accepted (See Rule Revision #22)

b) Rule Book Motions

1. Rule Revision #1

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)  
Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

Team Safety & Coaching Guidelines

**Current**

A qualified and experienced gymnastics coach should teach tumbling skills.

**Proposed**

A qualified and experienced tumbling coach should teach tumbling skills.

**Rationale**

We are cheerleaders and tumbling 2/5 of our sport. We are not gymnasts and we do not compete gymnastic skills.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

2. Rule Revision #2

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)  
Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

Team Safety & Coaching Guidelines

**Current**

Practice sessions should be held in an area appropriate for cheerleading activities with a designated coach present.

**Proposed**

Practice sessions should be held in an area appropriate for cheerleading activities with a designated coach present. Appropriate areas for stunting must include mats at least 12 square feet per athlete and 16 foot high ceilings.

**Rationale**

As athletes and advocates for the safety of our sport we need to make sure every athlete is practicing on mats and in an area big enough and tall enough for the skills we train.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Suggested rewording – instead of specifying 16ft ceilings which may not be appropriate for all levels of stunting (ie: adult teams), we could state “Appropriate areas for stunting must include mats at least 12 square feet per athlete and a ceiling height that allows ample space above the height of the highest skill performed.”

- Coaches don't have enough power to go to their schools and ask for the mats, kids shouldn't be practicing in the hallways. Having a rule in the rule book gives coaches clout for demanding safe practice areas. Could affect insurance – is a recommendation for teams to follow this but “should” versus “must” needs to be clarified.
- Ceiling height depends on the skills you are practicing in the area. Teams like the Riders are not covered when they are on the concrete because of insurance stipulations
- **Stricken to a sub-committee: Leslie, Craig, Shanda**

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

3. Rule Revision #3

Submitted by: Diane Klemp (Executive)  
Seconded by: Denise Norris (Executive)

**Rule Revision**

Sanctioned Events

**Current**

5. The SCA will organize and supply all score sheets (2-part forms). The host will be charged \$35 to help cover the cost associated with this service.

**Proposed**

5. The SCA will organize and supply all score sheets (2-part forms). The host will be charged an administration fee depending on the number of teams competing to help cover the cost associated with this service.

No. Of Routines Scored	Administration Fee
≤ 15	\$50
16 - 30	\$60
31 - 45	\$70
46 - 60	\$80
61 - 75	\$90
75+	\$100

**Rationale**

The larger competitions use a lot more score sheets than the smaller competitions, this should be adjusted to reflect the cost of supplies used.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

- MAP funding available for teams that host competitions, so if a school needs compensation they can seek it that way.

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

4. Rule Revision #4

Submitted by: Diane Klemp (Executive)

Seconded by: Denise Norris (Executive)

**Rule Revision**

Sanctioned Events

**NEW**

The SCA will calculate and invoice the event host for the total cost of the judging honorariums and score sheet costs. The SCA will then in turn pay the judges.

**Rationale**

Saves event hosts time and cheques. It allows the SCA to bundle payments to judges who judging more than one competition and provide the event host with a concise invoice that is great for accounting purposes and also provides an easy way to take care of this cost.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

5. Rule Revision #5

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

Judging Duties

**Current**

No Judge can have an affiliation with any team he/she is judging. This means a judge cannot work or coach at a school/club he/she is judging for, and cannot have children or siblings on a team he/she is judging for.

**Proposed**

Judges cannot judge any team that he/she coaches. This means they cannot both coach and judge a team however, they can judge teams from the same school or club they coach for.

**Rationale**

Judges are capable of evaluating teams with objectivity outside of the team they coach even within the same school or club.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

- Good rule, **but keep in the part about not judging siblings teams.**
- Leave it up to the judging director and the judges themselves to decide about whether the affiliations they have with teams affects their ability to judge a team or club
- Need to make this information available to other teams in the divisions so they know what's going on and to avoid conflict

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

6. Rule Revision #6

Submitted by: Mishayla Potts (Executive)

Seconded by: Diane Klemp (Executive)

**Rule Revision**

Judging Duties - Compensation

**Current**

The competition host will be responsible for providing an honorarium to each judge at all SCA sanctioned events based on the number of routines they judge per competition session. This honorarium shall be provided to the judges at the completion of their judging duties that day.

No. Of Routines Judged Per Session	Level 1 Judge Payment	Level 2 Judge Payment	Level 3 Judge Payment
≤ 10	\$30	\$40	\$50
11 -20	\$40	\$50	\$60
21-30	\$50	\$60	\$70
31-40	\$60	\$70	\$80
41-50	\$70	\$80	\$90
50+	\$70 plus \$10 for each additional set of 10 or less routines.	\$80 plus \$10 for each additional set of 10 or less routines.	\$90 plus \$10 for each additional set of 10 or less routines.

**Proposed**

Judges are paid per hour based on the final competition schedule.

Level 1 Judge - \$10/hour

Level 2 Judge - \$12.50/hour

Level 3 Judge - \$15/hour

**Rationale**

Our current method while acknowledging different pay scales, is tedious and time consuming for tracking the number of routines when the schedule changes or when a judge is modified or changed to another panel. This new method will save time when determining honorariums.

Costs won't fluctuate if for some reason the event runs a bit late.

Quebec pays their advanced judges \$15/hour, so it's in line with other provinces.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

- What happens when the competition runs over by 2 hours?
- Gives judges accountability for judging quickly
- Need to have a minimum amount of time between routines
- Should we compensate judges for being there the hour beforehand?
- Not always the judges fault – who pays depends on what the delay is.. not a good idea
- Hourly is supported, flexible for time at the competition (compensated for all the time they are at the competition, including beforehand), include a sign in sheet for when judges arrive at the competition, need to develop policy around this

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

7. Rule Revision #7

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)  
Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

Rule 1, Section 4, Article 1, Page 13

**Current**

Article 1 – Teams must attend at least one SCA sanctioned event in the current competition season prior to the Provincial Championship in order to be eligible to attend.

**Proposed**

Article 1 – Teams must attend at least one SCA sanctioned event in the current competition season prior to the Provincial Championship in order to be eligible to attend. The SCA is required to have a list of teams and competitions they have attended in the current season.

**Rationale**

UofR team competed and won SCA Open division but did not compete before SCA provincials and was not eligible.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Note from Mishayla – The Rebels Open team competed at URCC. The Competition Results page contains all this information already, could this be used as reference?

“Article 1 – Teams must **compete** in at least one SCA sanctioned event in the current competition season prior to the Provincial Championship in order to be eligible to **compete**.”

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

8. Rule Revision #8

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)  
Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

Rule 1, Section 5, Article 1, Page 13

**Current**

Article 1 - The competition area will be at least 42' long (front to back), between 42' to 45' wide (left to right) and must be fully covered with carpeted gymnastics flooring that may or may not be “sprung.” The mat strips must be securely taped together (and to the floor if necessary). ‘Center center’ and ‘front center’ locations must be clearly marked with tape prior to the first designated warm up/practice time of the competition. Props may be placed or safely tossed off the mat during performance without penalty.

**Proposed**

Article 1 - The competition area will be at least 42' long (front to back) and 54' wide (left to right) and must be fully covered with carpeted gymnastics flooring that may or may not be “sprung.” The mat strips must be securely taped together (and to the floor if necessary). ‘Center center’ and ‘front center’ locations must be clearly marked with tape prior to the first designated warm up/practice time of the competition. Props may be placed or safely tossed off the mat during performance without penalty.

**Rationale**

Consistency with other competitions in Canada and the USA.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Note from Mishayla – The Rebels Open team competed at URCC. The Competition Results page contains all this information already, could this be used as reference?

- A lot of teams are still in the process of buying mats and we need to give time to teams to pay for the mats slowly
- Some competitions may not need 9 mats wide
- Some people are struggling to get 7 mats, so trying to get 9 is going to be more difficult
- Having 9 mats is also a safety thing with so many athletes on the mat
- Todd will give out mats for free, if teams lose money after renting mats
- Mandatory to have 54' wide mats

Passed [ ]

Denied [X]

- If the competition host is offering All-Star or Collegiate divisions at their competition, they need to have 9 mats wide

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

9. Rule Revision #9

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

Rule 1, Section 5, Article 2, Page 13

**Current**

Article 2 – All Teams must be provided with a minimum 4 minute (2 minute for group/partner) practice time on the competition surface (or practice mat of the same size and surface) prior to the start of the competition session. All teams in a category must be given the same amount of time.

**Proposed**

Dissolve this rule and it will be replaced by better placed warm up times by the Competition committee proposal (see below).

**Rationale**

As competitions get bigger there is not time to provide a full warm up time on the competition floor for each athlete. Most if not all competitions consider warm up time outside of the competition floor sufficient.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

If this motion is not passed, then a second motion as an alternative can be the following rewording:

Article 2 – All Teams must be provided with **equal** practice time on the competition surface (or practice mat of the same size and surface) prior to the start of the competition session. All teams in a category must be given the same amount of time.

- Can be a safety issue for kids that have never been on the competition surface (gymnastics mats versus wrestling mats)
- Need equal and optional access sometime prior to the competition
- CHANGE TO: Teams can opt out of the warm up time if they want to.

Passed [ ]

Denied [X]

10. Rule Revision #10

Submitted by: Denise Norris (Executive)

Seconded by: Diane Norris (Executive)

**Rule Revision**

Rule 1, Section 6, Article 1, Page 13

**Current**

Article 1 - All judges must attend an SCA Judging Clinic prior to judging each season.

**Proposed**

Article 1 - All judges with less than two years of experience or those not trained in the current scoring methods must attend an SCA Judging Clinic prior to judging each season.

**Rationale**

Experienced judges with two years or more are not required to attend the judging clinics it is optional. However if the scoring system changes substantially the judges should be required to attend a clinic to be updated, no matter the experience.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

- Keeps judges up to date

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

11. Rule Revision #11

Submitted by: Denise Norris (Executive)

Seconded by: Diane Norris (Executive)

**Rule Revision**

Rule 1, Section 6, Article 2, Page 13

**Current**

Article 2 - SCA sanctioned events will be judged by a minimum of 6 stunt panel judges, 6 pom panel judges when possible (when not possible, use 4 pom judges with 2 for DOD and 2 for DOE & Routine Skills), 1 safety judge, 1-2 tabulators, and 1 comments judge (optional).

**Proposed**

Article 2 - SCA sanctioned events will be judged by a panel of 3-6 judges (as determined by the Judging Director), a safety judge, 1-2 tabulators, and a comments judge (optional, determined by event host).

**Rationale**

Update the rule to reflect current practices and flexibility when creating judging panels for small and large competitions.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

- Based on division size, not competition size

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

12. Rule Revision #12

Submitted by: Mishayla Potts (Executive)

Seconded by: Denise Norris (Executive)

**Rule Revision**

Motion to adapt the USASF/IASF Safety Rules for school divisions based on the current levels Quebec has success with.

**Current**



Division	Grades Allowed	No. of Males	No. of Athletes	Rules to Follow
Elementary	5 – 9	Unlimited	5 – 25	Elementary/Junior
Junior	7 – 10	1 Male	5 – 25	Elementary/Junior
Senior Small	9 – 12	1 Male	5 – 15	Senior
Senior Large	9 – 12	1 Male	16 – 25	Senior
Co-ed	9 – 12	2+ Males	5 – 25	Senior
Senior Group Stunt	9 – 12	Either All-Male or All-Female	4 – 5	Senior
Senior Partner Stunt	9 – 12	0-2	2	Senior
Collegiate Partner Stunt	University	0-2	2	USASF Level 6
Collegiate Group Stunt	University	Either All-Male or All-Female	4 – 5	USASF Level 6
Collegiate	University	Unlimited	5 – 24	USASF Level 6

### Proposed

#### Team Divisions

Division	Grades Allowed	Female/Male	No. of Athletes	Levels
Youth	5 – 9	Female/Male	5 – 36	1, 2
Junior	7 – 10	Female/Male	5 – 36	1, 2, 3, 4.2
Junior All-Girl	7 – 10	No Males	5 – 36	1, 2, 3, 4.2
Junior Co-ed	7 – 10	1+ Males	5 – 36	1, 2, 3, 4.2
Senior All-Girl	9 – 12	No Males	5 – 36	2, 3, 4, 4.2, 5
Senior Limited Co-ed	9 – 12	1-4 Males	5 – 36	2, 3, 4, 4.2, 5
Senior Co-ed	9 – 12	1+ Males	5 – 36	2, 3, 4, 4.2, 5
Collegiate All-Girl	University	No Males	5 – 36	6
Collegiate Co-ed	University	1+ Males	5 – 36	6

#### Group/Partner Divisions

Division	Grades Allowed	Female/Male	No. of Athletes	Levels
Senior Group Stunt	9 – 12	No Males	4 – 5	5
Senior Partner Stunt	9 – 12	1+ Males	2	5
Collegiate Group Stunt	University	No Males	4 – 5	6
Collegiate Partner Stunt	University	1+ Males	2	6

### Rationale

- Allows the SCA to follow a well refined, established, and well-used set of rules instead of managing our own rules.
- Relieves the SCA from managing our own rule set.
- Doesn't pigeon hole high school teams into a set level of skills. For example, a new high school team can choose to compete at Level 1 where there are no basket tosses allowed. This promotes safety by competing at the level the team is at, rather than trying to put elements in a routine that may not be executed well just to try to earn the points on the score sheet.
- Provides a more comprehensive set of rules on inversions so that they are done as safe as possible.
- On par with what Manitoba, Ontario, Cheer Evolution (Canada's largest Cheer event company), and Quebec are doing and likely this type of system will be adapted by Cheer Canada (and as a result likely by the provinces) for the 2012-2013 competitive season.
- Would allow the same scoring methods as all star, which make it easier on judges and crossover coaches to be familiar with only 1 rule set.

### Other Rules Affected

#### Discussion Notes

- Can have the same score sheet for All-Star and High School
- Safety would be better

- Can change levels throughout the season
- Need to coach the coaches properly so they know which level to put their team in
- Banners could be awarded by Division, not by levels
- Other provisions in the USASF guidelines for small and large divisions

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

13. Rule Revision #13

Submitted by: Denise Norris (Executive)

Seconded by: Diane Norris (Executive)

\*\*\* If the above rule passes, this rule revision will also be passed as the issue is solved by the passing of the above rule.

**Rule Revision**

Rule 2, Section 1, Page 15

**Current**

Junior school division only allows 1 male without a co-ed division option.

**Proposed**

Propose a change to allow unlimited males in this division just like elementary.

**Rationale**

Junior teams with more than 1 male do not fall into any category.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Passed [ ]

Denied [ ]

VOID AS ABOVE RULE PASSED

---

14. Rule Revision #14

Submitted by: Denise Norris (Executive)

Seconded by: Diane Norris (Executive)

**Rule Revision**

Rule 3, Section 2, Article 2, Page 18

**Current**

Article 2 - School routines must include a minimum of one team (100%) tumbling section with a minimum of one team tumbling element.

**Proposed**

Remove Rule

**Rationale**

This was voted in so that teams with one advanced tumbler couldn't get extremely high tumbling scores when the rest of the team could do no tumbling. This should be better addressed with the scoring method (see Score Committee proposal below). This current rule would result in out of province teams receiving 30 point deductions and hence does not promote teams coming into Saskatchewan to compete which is what we do not want.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Passed [ ]

Denied [ ]

VOID DUE TO RULE 12

---

15. Rule Revision #15

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)  
Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

Rule 1, Section 7, Article 3, Page 14

**Current**

Article 3 - In the event of a tie, the team with no or fewer penalties will be ranked above the team with more penalties. If both teams are still tied, the team with the highest combined execution scores will be ranked higher. If they are still tied they will be considered tied in the final standings. In the event of a tie in the final standings, the trophy will be given to the team whose competed first in the competition. The competition host will then be responsible for purchasing another trophy and providing it to the other team.

**Proposed**

In the event of a tie, the winner should be distinguished by deductions and then the team with the most difficult, leveled skills within the routine and then execution.

**Rationale**

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Suggested Modification:

Article 3 - In the event of a tie, the team with no or fewer penalties will be ranked above the team with more penalties. If both teams are still tied, the team with the highest combined execution scores will be ranked higher. If they are still tied then the team with the highest combined difficulty scores will be ranked higher. If they are still tied, they will be considered tied in the standings. In the event of a tie in the final standings, the trophy will be given to the team whose competed first in the competition. The competition host will then be responsible for purchasing another trophy and providing it to the other team.

- Amendment for pom: based on technical difficulty

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

16. Rule Revision #16

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)  
Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

Motion to strike a committee to discuss and re-evaluate the rules and responsibilities to host an SCA Sanctioned Event.

**Rationale**

Below is a list of rules that I feel need to be changed:

- Registration needs to close 2 wks before the competition date for better organization and competition execution
- Warm up areas need to be larger (suggestion at least the size of the competition floor), with ceiling at least 21 ft high, at least 2 warmup areas with 8-12 min for each team to warmup skills
- discuss access to event mat for warmup times. Ie: are warm ups on the event mat needed if there is access to the same floor as a warmup mat.
- sound system needs to be front center
- athletes should be able to watch the competition from inside the competition arena, etc.

- develop rules/guidelines in how to handle crossover athletes as this requires time and coordination when developing the competition schedule

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

17. Rule Revision #17

Submitted by: Mishayla Potts (SCA Executive), Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)  
Seconded by: Denise Norris (SCA Executive), Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

Motion to strike a committee to discuss revamping all star score sheets and, if the motion for adapting a leveled school rule set above passes, school score sheets as well, to be more in line with the rest of the world.

**Rationale**

Our score sheet was created 3 years ago and Cheerleading has changes and advanced several times since then.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

- Regarding stunt only, for now.

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

18. Rule Revision #18

Submitted by: Mishayla Potts (SCA Executive)  
Seconded by: Denise Norris (SCA Executive)

\*\*\* Note: This motion will automatically be passed with the passing of Rule Revision #12 and/or with the passing of Rule Revision #17.

**Rule Revision**

Motion to strike a committee to discuss revamping the scoring methods.

**Rationale**

Our current scales aren't conducive to scoring level-based rule sets. There are some shortfalls such as a team earning a high score for a single tumbler performing advanced tumbling skills and the rest of the team not tumbling at all.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Passed [ ]

Denied [ ]

VOID WITH PASSING OF PREVIOUS RULE

---

19. Rule Revision #19

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)  
Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

SCA Provincial banners should be Tiny through Open and Elementary, Junior, Senior NOT Elementary, Senior Small, Senior Large, Senior Co-ed, etc.

**Rationale**

Banners need to be reflective and comparative from All Star to School OR have a banner for each division and level in All Star.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

- Adapt this motion: same amount of banners and number of divisions offered.

Passed []

Denied []

---

20. Rule Revision #20

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

Change the name of Pom to Dance

**Rationale**

This would allow Pom to use USASF rules and score sheets and to be in line with the rest of the worlds Dance athletes and competitions.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

If this motion is passed, consider changing "stunt" back to "cheer" to stay aligned with other governing bodies/provinces/countries.

- Motion is just to change the name
- We could add the Dance umbrella once we have a new category
- Name "pom" follows the USASF pom rules under their dance division
- In the future, we could add a dance division. A rule revision would have to be brought forward in the future.

Passed []

Denied []

---

21. Rule Revision #21

Submitted by: Todd Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

Seconded by: Christina Knihnitski (Prairie Fire Cheerleading)

**Rule Revision**

All Executive decisions outside of the AGM should be accountable to the membership and we need a way to post Executive decisions made at Executive meetings and then a protocol for questioning or checking these decisions.

**Rationale**

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Notes from Executive – As an Executive, we decided this year to improve our communication and accountability to the membership by making Executive Meeting Minutes available to the membership. Beginning with the 2011-2012 season, Executive Meeting Minutes will

be available on the website. The Executive will work on a policy and procedure/form that can be used to seek additional information/clarification on business handled by the Executive.

Passed [ ]

Denied [ ]

**AUTOMATICALLY PASSED AS IT'S ALREADY IN MOTION**

---

22. Rule Revision #4

Submitted by: Terry (BJM)

Seconded by: Susan Bayne (Warman)

**Rule Revision**

Motion from the floor from Terry

**NEW**

Accept 4 (four) members at large, up from the previous 3 (three).

**Rationale**

Lots of stuff to do.

**Other Rules Affected**

**Discussion Notes**

Passed [X]

Denied [ ]

---

End of Motions

c) Clarifications

**7. Unfinished Business – N/A**

**8. New Business**

a) Tentative Dates for upcoming season:

- i) Leadership Conference – Saturday, November 5<sup>th</sup>, 2011 in Regina. We are fleshing out this conference to include aspects and sessions for athletes (such as a hands-on session to learn transitions)! Watch the website for more details as they are solidified.
- ii) Provincials – Saturday, March 31<sup>st</sup> – we will be moving to an external (non-school) venue and will be looking in both the Regina and Saskatoon area for options. Preference will be given to the Saskatoon area, but of course this is dependent on facility availability.
- iii) 2012 AGM – Saturday, May 12<sup>th</sup> – We are looking for Regina member teams interested in hosting this event.  
(1) Might need to revisit this date as Nationals is this same weekend

**9. Announcements**

- a) Dispute resolution form in the works
- b) “Leadership Conference” relabeled from Coaches conference – Sat Nov 5<sup>th</sup> in Regina

**10. Motion from the floor**

- a) **Pom scoring** – Give sequence marks for jump/kick/jump sequence
  - Wouldn't require different score sheets or anything, just clarification for judges
  - Put it on the website/pushed out to members
  - Mary to make a call out for Glossary submissions – Subcommittee
  - MOTION PASSED

**11. Adjournment @ 1:34pm**